IRREVERSIBLE ENTROPY PRODUCTION IN NON-EQUILIBRIUM QUANTUM PROCESSES

Mauro Paternostro School of Mathematics & Physics, Queen's University Belfast



QT60

Workshop on thermodynamics, thermoelectrics and transport in quantum devices

Espoo, 20 September 2018



PLAN OF THE TALK

 ϱ_t

 ϱ_t^{eq}



Entropy production & current issues in quantum system: a proposal of resolution ϱ_0^{eq}



Observability of the framework





Quantumness of the framework





DUE CREDIT



Jader P Santos (USP, Brazil)



Gabriel T Landi (USP, Brazil) Lucas C Celeri (UFG Goiana, Brazil)

J. P. Santos, G. T. Landi, and M. Paternostro Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 220601 (2017)
J. P. Santos, L. C. Celeri, F. Brito, G. T. Landi, and M. Paternostro, Phys. Rev. A 97, 052123 (2018)
J. P. Santos, A. de Paula, R. Drumond, G. T. Landi, and M. Paternostro, Phys. Rev. A 97, 050101R (2018)
J. P. Santos, L. C. Celeri, G. T. Landi, and M. Paternostro, arXiv:1707.08946 (2017)



Why entropy production?

Non-equilibrium processes dissipate energy. This produces irreversible increase of entropy





Entropy production for estimating the performance of devices (exergy is reduced by irreversibility)



Jukka producing entropy and explaining it

Tunnel

junction

PROGRESS ARTICLES | INSIGHT

PUBLISHED ONLINE: 3 FEBRUARY 2015 | DOI: 10.1038/NPHYS3169

nature physics

Towards quantum thermodynamics in electronic circuits

a

Classical

drive

Jukka P. Pekola Nature Physics 11, 118 (2015)







CURRENT ISSUES & A PROPOSAL



Entropy production

Second Law:

$$S \ge \int \frac{\delta Q}{T}$$

Clausius: "Uncompensated transformation"

$$\Delta S = \Sigma + \int \frac{\delta Q}{T}$$

Entropy production



Rudolf Clausius



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Phi(t) + \Pi(t)$$

 $\Pi(t)$ Entropy production rate $\Phi(t)$ Entropy flux rate



Entropy production

 Π, Φ are not observable. No continuity equation for entropy

No unifying theory of entropy production, to date

t

L Onsager (theory of chemical kinetics) related to energy currents

J Schnakenberger transition rates of a system governed by a master equation

......

 ϱ_t

 ϱ_t^{eq}

G Gallavottí & E Cohen íntegral fluctuation theorem $\langle e^{-\Sigma} \rangle = 1$

Ch. Jarzynskí & Tasakí-Crooks

 $\frac{P_{\rm f}(+\Sigma)}{P_{\rm b}(-\Sigma)} = e^{\Sigma}$

> H Spohn, J Lebowitz S Deffner, E Lutz H-P Breuer





$$\partial_{t}\rho = -i[H,\rho] + \mathcal{D}(\rho)$$

$$\Pi_{vN}(t) = -\partial_{t}S_{vN}(\rho|\rho_{t}^{*})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Spohn, Lebowitz}}{\text{Deffner & Lutz}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Donald, Breuer}}{\text{Donald, Breuer}}$$
For a thermal bath:
$$\Pi_{vN}(t) = \frac{dS_{vN}}{dt} + \Phi_{vN}(t)$$

$$= \frac{dS_{vN}}{dt} + \frac{\Phi_{E}(t)}{T}$$

Rudolf Clausius

Energy flux from system to environment





$$\partial_{t}\rho = -i[H,\rho] + \mathcal{D}(\rho)$$

$$\Pi_{vN}(t) = -\partial_{t}S_{vN}(\rho|\rho_{t}^{*}) \qquad \text{Spohn, Lebowitz} \\ \text{Deffner & Lutz} \\ \text{Donald, Breuer} \\ \text{For a thermal bath: } \Pi_{vN}(t) = \frac{dS_{vN}}{dt} + \Phi_{vN}(t)$$

$$\Pi(t), \Phi(t) \text{ diverge as } T \to 0 \\ \text{Idealised large heat reservoirs} = \frac{dS_{vN}}{dt} + \frac{\Phi_{E}(t)}{T}$$
Energy flux from system to environment



The Belfast-Sao Paulo proposal

$$S = -\int d^2 \alpha W(\alpha) \ln W(\alpha)$$
 Entropy of the Wigner function



J. Santos, G. Landi, and M Paternostro, Phys Rev Lett 118, 220601 (2017)



Why it makes sense

$$\Pi(t) = -\int d^2 \alpha \ \mathcal{D}(W) \ln(W/W_{eq})$$

For a single harmonic oscillator in a thermal bath:

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{\gamma}{\bar{n} + 1/2} (\langle a^{\dagger} a \rangle - \bar{n}) \quad \text{Observable}!!$$
$$= \frac{\Phi_E}{\omega(\bar{n} + 1/2)} \simeq \frac{\Phi_E}{T}$$

but no divergence at zero-temperature



Rudolf Clausius

J. Santos, G. Landi, and M Paternostro, Phys Rev Lett 118, 220601 (2017)



How about non-Gaussian states?

The formalism makes sense for Gaussian states. How about non-Gaussian ones?

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\Omega(\mathcal{Q}(\Omega)) \ln \mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$$

Use Wherl entropy!

Husimi function \measuredangle positive even for non-Gaussian states

Incredibly useful for spin dynamics!





Incredibly useful for spin dynamics!

$$|\Omega\rangle = e^{-i\phi J_z} e^{-i\theta J_y} e^{-i\psi J_z} |J, J\rangle$$

Spin coherent states

 $\mathcal{Q}(\Omega) = \langle \Omega | \rho | \Omega \rangle$ Husimi function

 $\partial_t \rho = -i[H,\rho] + \mathcal{D}(\rho)$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{Q} = U(\mathcal{Q}) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$$

Fokker-Planck equation

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{(2J+1)}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\Omega \ \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}) \ln \mathcal{Q}$





 $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}) = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\mathcal{J}_z(\mathcal{J}_z(\mathcal{Q}))$

$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Pi = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{2J+1}{4\pi} \right) \int \mathrm{d}\Omega \ \frac{|\mathcal{J}_z(\mathcal{Q})|^2}{\mathcal{Q}} \ge 0$ No associated entropy flux! Dephasing as a unital map: entropy only increases

spin-1/2 case







 $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}) = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\mathcal{J}_z(\mathcal{J}_z(\mathcal{Q}))$

$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Pi = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{2J+1}{4\pi} \right) \int \mathrm{d}\Omega \ \frac{|\mathcal{J}_z(\mathcal{Q})|^2}{\mathcal{Q}} \ge 0$ No associated entropy flux! Dephasing as a unital map: entropy only increases

spin-1/2 case in a rotating magnetic field







Link to observables!



For a single harmonic oscillator in a thermal bath: $\Pi_s = 2\kappa_a \left(\frac{\langle \hat{q}_a^2 \rangle_s + \langle \hat{p}_a^2 \rangle_s}{2N_a + 1} - 1\right)$

 $\Pi_{s} = 2\kappa_{a} \left(\frac{\langle \hat{q}_{a}^{2} \rangle_{s} + \langle \hat{p}_{a}^{2} \rangle_{s}}{2N_{a} + 1} - 1 \right) + 2\kappa_{b} \left(\frac{\langle \hat{q}_{b}^{2} \rangle_{s} + \langle \hat{p}_{b}^{2} \rangle_{s}}{2N_{b} + 1} - 1 \right)$ Experimentally testable (and indeed tested!)

M Brunellí et al. arXiv:1602.06958 (2016), to appear in Phys Rev Lett



Nano-optomechanics

Entropy production in mesoscopics g_{ab}



 \hat{H}

(c)
$$\gamma_b$$

 $\kappa_a \qquad \gamma_b$
 $= \omega_0 \hat{J}_z + \omega \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\hat{a}^{\delta \hat{+} a} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \right) \hat{J}_x$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{d} \end{pmatrix} H = \underbrace{ \hbar \omega }_{2} (p_{\gamma_{b}}^{2} + q^{2}) + \hbar (\omega_{c} - gq) a^{\dagger} a \\ + \underbrace{ \delta i \hbar \mathcal{E}_{a}}_{T_{a}} (a^{\dagger}_{\delta \hat{q}_{a}} e^{-i\omega_{0}t} - a e^{i\omega_{0}t}_{\gamma_{b}}) \\ T_{b} \end{array}$$



M Brunellí et al. arXív:1602.06958 (2016), to appear in Phys Rev Lett





What makes this scenario quantum?

 $F(\rho) = F_{eq} + TS(\rho || \rho_{eq})$ Non-equilibrium free energy $F(\rho) \ge F_{eq}$ Equilibration implies decrease of free energy $\Pi = -\frac{1}{T} \frac{\mathrm{d}F(\rho)}{\mathrm{d}t} \ge 0 \quad \Pi = 0 \text{ iff } \rho = \rho_{\mathrm{eq}}$
$$\begin{split} S(\rho||\rho_{\rm eq}) &= \mathcal{S}(p||p_{\rm eq}) + \mathcal{C}(\rho) \\ & \\ \text{diagonal} \end{split}$$
relative entropy of coherence entropy (Baumgratz, Cramer, Plenio)

J. P. Santos, L. C. Celeri, G. T. Landi, and M. Paternostro, arXiv:1707.08946 (2017) to appear in Nature Quantum Information

What makes this scenario quantum?

Interpretation to the mismatch between entropy production in quantum and classical settings

$$\Phi = \Pi - \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{n}}{\mathrm{d}t} \ln p_{\mathrm{eq}}^{n}$$

entropy flux has no contribution arising
from quantum coherences

J. P. Santos, L. C. Celeri, G. T. Landi, and M. Paternostro, arXiv:1707.08946 (2017) to appear in Nature Quantum Information

The Belfast crew

Bread on tables..

